

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/032,389	10/26/2001	Enio Luiz Carpi	01P11911 US	5448
75	90 02/18/2004		EXAMINER	
Kay Houston			BARRECA, NICOLE M	
Slater & Matsil, 17950 Preston I	, L.L.P. Road, Suite 1000		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Dallas, TX 75				

DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/032,389	CARPI, ENIO LUIZ (	$\supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i$				
/lavioury /louon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Nicole M. Barreca	1756					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE herefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl l (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to n places the application	a in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 22 as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 12 as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office mely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Offic	MPEP te extension ate extension e action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplit	fying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed ame	endment				
5.  ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT pla	ace the				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were ne	wly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18, 20-23, 28, 29</u> .			,				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
0.  Other:							
		Nicole M. Barreca Examiner Art Unit: 1756	ren				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims raise new issues which would require further consideration and search. For example the proposed amendment to claim 1 removes the limitation of patterning the material "using an elliptical shaped energy beam", thereby making the claim broader than previously considered and searched. The proposed amendment may also raise the issue of new matter, specifically regarding the proposed amendment directed to more than 2 different angular positions.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims have not been considered or searched taking into consideration the newly proposed amendments.